| The general organisation of the training					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Relevance of the topic		1	17	44	45
Usefulness of the acquired knowledge	1	4	17	41	44
Rating of the methodology of working with participants	1	6	22	43	35
Rating of prepared training materials	1	3	21	35	47
Rating organization	1	7	23	29	47
Rating of working conditions	3	8	22	30	42
Rating interactivity in training	7	5	22	41	32
Rating transferability of acquired knowledge	2	3	17	44	41
Rating of satisfaction of participation in training	5	6	15	40	41
Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training	2	7	19	43	36
The overall rating training	1	3	16	41	46

Relevance of the topic (%)

Rating	Value
Poor	0
OK	1
Good	16
Very Good	41
Excellent	42

Usefulness of the acquired knowledge (%)

Rating	Value
Poor	1
OK	4
Good	16
Very Good	38
Excellent	41

Rating of the methodology of working with participants (%)

| Rating	Value
Poor	1
OK	6
Good	21
Very Good	40
Excellent	33

Rating of prepared training materials (%)

Rating	Value
Poor	1
OK	3
Good	20
Very Good	33
Excellent	44

Rating organization (%)

Rating	Value
Excellent	44
Very Good	27
Good	21
OK	7
Poor	1

Rating of working conditions (%)

Rating	Value
Excellent	40
Very Good	29
Good	21
OK	8
Poor	3

Rating interactivity in training (%)

Rating	Value
Poor	7
OK	5
Good	21
Very Good	38
Excellent	30

Rating transferability of acquired knowledge (%)

Rating	Value
Excellent	38
Very Good	41
Good	16
OK	3
Poor	2

Rating of satisfaction of participation in training (%)

Rating	%
Excellent	38
Very Good	37
Good	14
OK	6
Poor	5

Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training (%)

Poor 2, OK 7, Good 18, Very Good 40, Excellent 34

■ Poor ■ OK ■ Good ■ Very Good ■ Excellent

The overall rating training (%)

	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
%	1	3	15	38	43

General participant expectations

	Grading Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Overall impression	1	4	15	35	52
Manner of presentation		5	11	33	58
Scope of material		5	18	35	47
Tempo	2	8	20	30	47
Examples	1	2	20	24	60
Practical exercises	9	14	25	32	27
My expectations were met	2	5	22	34	44

Overall impression (%)

- Poor
- OK
- Good
- Very Good
- Excellent

1 4% — 14% — 48% — 33%

Manner of presentation (%)

- Poor
- OK
- Good
- Very Good
- Excellent

0% — 5% — 10% — 54% — 31%

Scope of material (%)

- Poor
- OK
- Good
- Very Good
- Excellent

0 5% — 17% — 45% — 33%

Tempo (%)

Excellent	44
Very Good	28
Good	19
OK	7
Poor	2

0 10 20 30 40 50

Examples (%)

Rating	%
Poor	1
OK	2
Good	19
Very Good	22
Excellent	56

Practical exercises (%)

Rating	%
Poor	8
OK	13
Good	23
Very Good	30
Excellent	25

My expectations were met (%)

Rating	%
Poor	2
OK	5
Good	21
Very Good	32
Excellent	41

| Evaluation of trainer					
	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality of presentations			4	14	22	67
Quality of prepared material			1	11	26	69
Relationship with participants		1	5	13	33	55
Enabling active participation of participants		2	4	24	33	44
Quality of the training organization		1	4	13	37	52
Overall rating of trainer			2	11	23	71

Quality of presentations (%)

Category	%
Poor	0.00
OK	3.74
Good	13.08
Very Good	20.56
Excellent	62.62

Quality of prepared material (%)

Category	%
Poor	0.00
OK	0.93
Good	10.28
Very Good	24.30
Excellent	64.49

Relationship with participants (%)

Category	%
Excellent	51.40
Very Good	30.84
Good	12.15
OK	4.67
Poor	0.93

Enabling active participation of participants (%)

Category	%
Excellent	41.12
Very Good	30.84
Good	22.43
OK	3.74
Poor	1.87

Quality of the training organization (%)

- Poor
- OK
- Good
- Very Good
- Excellent

1% 4% 12% 34% 49%

Overall rating of trainer (%)

- Poor
- OK
- Good
- Very Good
- Excellent

0% 2% 10% 22% 66%