



## The general organisation of the training

	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Relevance of the topic			1	2	11	12
Usefulness of the acquired knowledge			1	4	10	11
Rating of the methodology of working with participants			1	5	12	8
Rating of prepared training materials			1	5	12	8
Rating organization			2	2	12	12
Rating of working conditions		2	2	6	4	12
Rating interactivity in training		1	2	5	8	10
Rating transferability of acquired knowledge			1	4	10	11
Rating of satisfaction of participation in training			1	2	11	12
Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training			1	2	11	12
The overall rating training			1	2	11	12



## General participant expectations


	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Overall impression		1	2	3	10	10
Manner of presentation			1	3	12	12
Scope of material			1	2	10	13
Tempo		2	2	3	8	11
Examples				3	11	12
Practical exercises			1	1	12	12
My expectations were met		1	2	3	10	10




## Evaluation of trainer

	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality of presentations			1	2	10	13
Quality of prepared material			1	2	10	13
Relationship with participants			2	3	10	11
Enabling active participation of participants		1	2	2	10	11
Quality of the training organization				3	10	13
Overall rating of trainer				1	12	13

### Overall rating of trainer



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5



EVALUATION OF STUDENT LECTURES  
CHC DRAGISA MISOVIC DEDINJE

4-5. 12.2019.

Lecturers:  
Prof. dr Vladimir Đukić  
Prof. dr Radoslav Perunović

Evaluation done by: Sanja Ivanković, MSc.