

SELF-EVALUATION REPORT FORM OF TRAINING FOR HCWs AND STUDENTS

Project title	Strengthening Capacities for Higher Education of Pain Medicine in Western Balkan countries
Project acronym	HEPMP
Project reference number	585927-EPP-1-2017-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Coordinator	University of Belgrade
Project start date	October 15, 2017
Project duration	36 months

Work package	WP2 Development of joint curricula for PM study program
xxx	xxx
Activity	2.2. Development of HEPMP content and teaching material
Optional topic	<u>Pain therapy for students of the Faculty of Medicine</u>

Dates	22.10. – 23.11. 2018. (Pain:12-16. 11. 2018.)
City	Belgrade
Meeting venue	Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade
Address	Dr Subotića 8


Project number: 585927-EPP-1-2017-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP (2017 – 3109 / 001 – 001)

*This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.
This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*


The general organisation of the training

	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Relevance of the topic			1	17	44	45
Usefulness of the acquired knowledge		1	4	17	41	44
Rating of the methodology of working with participants		1	6	22	43	35
Rating of prepared training materials		1	3	21	35	47
Rating organization		1	7	23	29	47
Rating of working conditions		3	8	22	30	42
Rating interactivity in training		7	5	22	41	32
Rating transferability of acquired knowledge		2	3	17	44	41
Rating of satisfaction of participation in training		5	6	15	40	41
Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training		2	7	19	43	36
The overall rating training		1	3	16	41	46


Relevance of the topic (%)




Usefulness of the acquired knowledge (%)




Rating of the methodology of working with participants (%)




Rating of prepared training materials (%)




Rating organization (%)




Rating of working conditions (%)




Rating interactivity in training (%)




Rating transferability of acquired knowledge (%)




Rating of satisfaction of participation in training (%)



Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training (%)




The overall rating training (%)




General participant expectations

	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Overall impression		1	4	15	35	52
Manner of presentation			5	11	33	58
Scope of material			5	18	35	47
Tempo		2	8	20	30	47
Examples		1	2	20	24	60
Practical exercises		9	14	25	32	27
My expectations were met		2	5	22	34	44


Overall impression (%)




Manner of presentation (%)




Scope of material (%)




Tempo (%)




Examples (%)



Practical exercises (%)




My expectations were met (%)




Evaluation of trainer

	Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality of presentations			4	14	22	67
Quality of prepared material			1	11	26	69
Relationship with participants		1	5	13	33	55
Enabling active participation of participants		2	4	24	33	44
Quality of the training organization		1	4	13	37	52
Overall rating of trainer			2	11	23	71


Quality of presentations (%)




Quality of prepared material (%)




Relationship with participants (%)



Enabling active participation of participants (%)



Quality of the training organization (%)



Overall rating of trainer (%)

